

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,855	05/17/2006	Takayuki Araki	Q94609	8089
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 04/22/200 ION PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNS YL VANIA A VENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			JOHNSON, CONNIE P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
······································	., 50 2005		1795	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/579,855	ARAKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CONNIE P. JOHNSON	1795		
	10/579,855 Examiner	10/579,855 ARAKI ET AL. Examiner Art Unit	

	CONNIE P. JOHNSON	1795					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>2</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date where filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhausted of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprisinally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIAMENTS. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. \(\simega\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see continuation sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Cynthia H Kelly/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795	/Connie P. Johnson/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795	;					

Applicant argues that the invention of Brunsvold was made to overcome deficiencies associated with the use of fluorocarbon polymers that have low refractive index and therefore Brunsvold does not have motivation to use the low refractive index fluorocarbons of Araki in the antireflective layer. Brunsvold does not teach away from using low refractive index fluorocarbons in the antireflective layer. The multicomponent systems taught by Brunsvold comprises functionalized fluorocarbons and binders (col. 3, lines 56-67). Applicant argues that there is no suggestion in Araki of using a fluorocarbon polymer in an antireflective layer. Araki teaches a low refractive index fluorocarbon polymer in an antireflective layer.